1	2	3	4
2	Shri Ukai Vibhag Asarghast Sahakari Khand Udyog Mandli Ltd.	Serula, Tehsil—Songadh Distt.—Surat	Gunsada, Taluk—Songath, Distt.—Surat.
3	M/s. Shri Narmada Khand Udyog Sahakari. Mandli Ltd.	Taropa, Taluk—Nanded Distt.—Bharuch	Dharikheda, Tehsil—Nanded Distt.—Bharuch.
4	M/s. Vadodara District Coop. Sugarcane Growers' Union Ltd.,	Mandala, Taluk—Dabhoi, Distt.—Vadodara	Gandhara, Tal.—Kargan Distt.—Vadodara.
5	M/s. Kaveri Vibhag Sahakari Khand Udyog Mandli Ltd.	Khata Amba Tal.—Chikli Distt.—Valsad	Sadadvel, Distt.—Surat.

Note : The proposal of M/s. Vadodara District Coop. Sugarcane Growers' Union Ltd. has not yet been recommended by the Government of Gujarat.

Irrigation projects affected by rains in Orissa

*87. SHRI JAGADISH JANI: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) the number of irrigation projects affected by the severe rains in Phulbani district of Orissa;

(b) the extent of damage caused to different irrigation projects in that district as a result thereof; and

(c) the steps taken by Government for regular repairing and maintenance of these irrigation projects ?

THE MINISTER OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI VIDYACHARAN SHUKLA): (a) Two Medium Irrigation Projects namely Salki and Pilasalki of Phulbani District have been affected.

(b) As reported by the State Government of Orissa, 159 breaches have occured in the Canal System. Approximate damages in Salki and Pilasalki Irrigation Projects are assessed at Rs. 32.40 lakh and Rs. 25.50 lakh respectively.

(c) The State Government has drawn up a programme to close the breaches to ensure Kharif Irrigation.

Drought in Rajasthan

*88. SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL:
SHRI DHULESHWAR MEENA:

Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that Rajasthan faces severe drought this year in the absence of monsoon being "one of the worst in living memory" as reported in the Hindustan Times dated the 19th August, 1991, if so, the extent of damage to Kharif crops;

(b) whether it is a fact that Rajasthan Government has represented to the Central Government to the effect that Rs. 124 crore fixed by the Ninth Finance Commission for the State to meet natural calamities would not be sufficient because of the grim situation prevailing in Jaisalmer, Jalore, Barmer, Jodhpur and Bikaner Districts on account of shortage of rain fall; and

(c) if so, what steps Government have taken so far in this regard and how much additional amount is likely to be sanctioned as a special case to Rajasthan ?

THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE (DR. BALRAM JAKHAR): (a) to (c) A Statement is laid on the Table of the Sabha.

Statement

(a) About 11 districts in the State of Rajasthan have so far received deficient

and scanty rainfall. According to the reports of the State Government, only 79 per cent of the Kharif sowing has taken place. The State Government has not reported on the specific extent of adverse impact of the delayed monsoon on Kharif crops.

(b) The State Government has indicated that the annual allocation of Rs. 124.00 crores under Calamity Relief Fund may not be sufficient for undertaking major programme of Employment Generation, drinking water and fodder supply.

(c) The State has an annual allocation of Rs. 124.00 crores to CRF, consisting of the Central share of Rs. 93.00 crores and State share of Rs. 31 crores. the Central Government has already released an amount of Rs. 46.50 crores during 1991-92 towards the 1st and 2nd instalments of the Central share. In addition, the State Government has an unspent balance of Rs. 82.25 crores out of CRF of 1990-91. Thus, including the State's share the Government of Rajasthan has as at present an amount of Rs. 144.25 crores at its disposal for undertaking relief operations. Under the existing scheme for financing the relief expenditure, the State Government is required to meet all expenditure on relief operations out of CRF and its budgetory resources.

The third and fourth instalments of Centre's share of contribution to CRF will be due only in October, 1991 and January, 1992. However, the State Government can also ask for the advance release of Central share depending upon the requirement of funds for providing relief. No such request has been received from the State Government.

Railway line between Farrukhabad and Shahjahanpur

*89. MAULANA OBAIDULLAH KHAN AZMI: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there is no railway line between Farrukhabad and Shahjahanpur in Uttar Pradesh; and

(b) if so, what steps are being taken to provide such a line?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MALLIKARJUN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) There is no proposal at present for such a line.

Construction of guest houses and nursing homes in residential areas in Delhi

*90. SHRI HARVENDRA SINGH HANSPAL: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the D.D.A. propose to allow the construction of guest houses and Nursing Homes in the residential areas in Delhi;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether such a step is likely to boost up mushroom growth of illegal guest houses and Nursing homes in the Capital, and

(d) if so, what steps are being contemplated against this?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SMT. SHEILA KAUL): (a) to (d) The Master Plan-2001 for Delhi which came into force from 1st August, 1990 does not permit construction of Guest Houses and Nursing Homes in residential plots or residential premises.

The Delhi Development Authority has not taken any decision regarding regularisation of Nursing Homes and Guest Houses which have been functioning in residential areas prior to coming into force of the Master Plan-2001.

Expenditure incurred on Railway Communications System

*91. SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) what is the expenditure incurred per annum on the Railway Communications system; and

(b) whether there is any proposal under Government's consideration to upgrade the existing Railway Communication System?